

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed on June 25, 2003 has been received and reviewed. Claims 1-18 are in the case. Claims 5-7, 12-14, 16, and 18 were rejected and claims 1-4, 8-12, 15, and 17 stand allowed. Applicant has canceled claims 5-7, 12-14, 16, and 18. In addition, Applicant has added claims 19-21 which depend from allowed claims. Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 1-21 as amended are patentable in view of the cited references for the reasons set forth below.

Rejections of claims 5-7, 12-14, 16, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell et al.


Applicant has canceled claims 5-7, 12-14, 16, and 18 and placed the features of the canceled claims 5 and 12 in new claims dependent on allowed claims. For instance, the new claim 19 includes a comparator found previously in claims 5 and 12. As claims 19-21 depend on allowed claims, Applicant therefore asserts that claims 19-21 are in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Applicant asserts that claims 1-4, 8-11, 15, 17, and 19-21 are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests prompt allowance of the pending claims.

In the event that the Examiner finds any remaining impediments to the prompt allowance of any of these claims which could be clarified in a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully urged to initiate the same with the undersigned.

DATED this 25th day of September, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,



David J. McKenzie
Reg. No. 46,919
Attorney for Applicant

Date: September 25, 2003

Kunzler and Associates
Suite 450
10 West 100 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 994-4646